PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION P040308P0 See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/JP2005/018109 30.09.2005 08.10.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Date of completion of this opinion Authorized officer Facsimile No. Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/018109

Bo	x No. I	Basis of this opinion	
1.	With	n regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed	
		the translation of the international application into	, which is the language of a
		translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rule 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).	
2.		h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application antion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	and necessary to the claimed
	a.	type of material	
		a sequence listing	
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b.	format of material	
		on paper	
		in electronic form	
	c.	time of filing/furnishing	
		contained in the international application as filed	
		filed together with the international application in electronic form	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search	
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relatively furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identically filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
4.	Addi	itional comments:	
ĺ			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/018109

	nt under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, in mations supporting such statement	nventive step or industrial applicability;
1. Statement	radio of pporting owen our enterin	
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-14	YE
		NO NO
Inventive step (IS)		
mount sup (15)	Claims 1-14 Claims	YE NO
	-	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-14	
	Claims	NO
	172 A (Fujitsu Ltd.), 14 June 1994	and in any of the decompants sites
	of claims 1 to 14 are neither describ to a party skilled in the art.	ed in any of the documents cited